Data: 2958 1Mb non-overlapping windows over the whole genome (198 eliminated due to undefined variables).
The 7 variables non repetitive aln, interspersed repeat density, GC content, delta GC, recombination rate, exon density, and snp density (from TSC) were standardized (minus mean, divided by st. dev.) to eliminate overall location and variation scale differences. The linear regression of aln (nr) on the remaining 6 variables provides the direction of strongest linear explanation of the response in the predictor space. To it, we can associate a share of explained (standardized) variability of the response -- R2 of the regression. Below are the results, overall and by chromosome:

|  | Least square coefficients from linear regression; response = aln (nr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | intercept | intersp rep | GC | delta GC | recomb | exon | snp (tsc) | share of var (R2) |
| Overall | 0.00000 | -0.320666 | -0.171324 | -0.115630 | 0.011233 | 0.25750 | -0.129328 | 0.144 |
| chr1 | 0.18491 | -0.393928 | -0.088810 | -0.021954 | -0.013012 | 0.18351 | -0.133822 | 0.124 |
| chr2 | -0.07559 | -0.542904 | -0.161485 | -0.536636 | -0.052700 | 0.26743 | -0.052980 | 0.417 |
| chr3 | 0.00038 | -0.419904 | 0.177110 | -0.112827 | 0.093195 | 0.21119 | 0.146186 | 0.219 |
| chr4 | -0.18065 | -0.397484 | 0.181114 | -0.341840 | 0.011845 | 0.09516 | -0.043833 | 0.259 |
| chr5 | 0.10994 | -0.414866 | 0.147021 | -0.476363 | -0.131950 | 0.10413 | -0.261286 | 0.339 |
| chr6 | -0.27811 | -0.373218 | -0.230734 | -0.236830 | -0.029376 | 0.12787 | -0.126814 | 0.117 |
| chr7 | 0.08270 | -0.384894 | -0.408089 | -0.395759 | 0.037767 | 0.50500 | -0.058995 | 0.550 |
| chr8 | -0.17661 | -0.230123 | -0.221628 | -0.301019 | 0.061636 | 0.16972 | -0.136349 | 0.135 |
| chr9 | 0.16377 | -0.451124 | -0.360056 | -0.052870 | 0.014257 | 0.42290 | -0.063366 | 0.174 |
| chr 10 | 0.08443 | -0.242507 | -0.138261 | -0.035262 | 0.003205 | 0.34695 | -0.065072 | 0.123 |
| chr11 | 0.44518 | -0.336092 | 0.324117 | -0.441767 | 0.271057 | 0.05652 | -0.216063 | 0.370 |
| chr 12 | -0.15456 | -0.574566 | -0.422803 | -0.024646 | -0.015177 | 0.39957 | -0.231253 | 0.368 |
| chr 13 | -0.84024 | -0.600242 | -0.132399 | -0.387117 | -0.093475 | 0.09200 | -0.026458 | 0.248 |
| chr 14 | 0.24038 | -0.494908 | -0.185609 | -0.350425 | 0.038005 | 0.28379 | -0.016953 | 0.341 |
| chr15 | 0.36798 | -0.596453 | -0.216380 | -0.030469 | -0.039083 | 0.14490 | -0.180727 | 0.488 |
| chr 16 | 0.36501 | -0.205788 | -0.603910 | -0.187575 | -0.011370 | 0.45333 | 0.015692 | 0.371 |
| chr 17 | 0.22762 | -0.146081 | -0.067281 | -0.274155 | -0.004111 | 0.32023 | 0.004145 | 0.264 |
| chr 18 | -0.50385 | -0.399859 | -0.130809 | -0.373458 | -0.078899 | -0.16506 | -0.154097 | 0.200 |
| chr 19 | -0.21057 | -0.366291 | -0.597422 | 0.166754 | -0.094962 | 0.33467 | -0.388348 | 0.342 |
| chr 20 | 0.57474 | -0.279829 | -0.972352 | 0.177166 | 0.062638 | 0.50828 | -0.117798 | 0.451 |
| chr 21 | -1.03256 | -0.510089 | -0.279723 | -0.650333 | -0.072513 | 0.51646 | 0.020117 | 0.588 |
| chr 22 | -0.29449 | 0.044596 | -0.350202 | 0.166660 | 0.008008 | 0.44078 | -0.137411 | 0.622 |
| chrX | -0.43225 | -0.246934 | 0.118540 | 0.026844 | -0.234549 | 0.15377 | -0.617391 | 0.164 |
| chrY | 0.63676 | -0.261864 | -0.910238 | 0.152440 | 0.000000 | 1.28507 | 0.915282 | 0.416 |

These results can be better expressed transforming the coefficients (neglecting the intercept) into norm one vectors in a 6D space, as reported below (so these are objects of the same nature of the first PC's in the other analysis):

|  | $\underline{\text { Norm one least square vectors from linear regression; response }=\mathbf{a l n}(\mathbf{n r})}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | intersp rep | GC | delta GC | recomb | exon | snp (tsc) | share of var (R2) |
| Overall | -0.670520 | -0.358242 | -0.241785 | 0.023488 | 0.538445 | -0.270427 | 0.144 |
| chr1 | -0.848972 | -0.191399 | -0.047315 | -0.028042 | 0.395487 | -0.288406 | 0.124 |
| chr2 | -0.655528 | -0.194984 | -0.647960 | -0.063632 | 0.322910 | -0.063971 | 0.417 |
| chr3 | -0.773009 | 0.326045 | -0.207705 | 0.171565 | 0.388783 | 0.269117 | 0.219 |
| chr4 | -0.704015 | 0.320785 | -0.605461 | 0.020979 | 0.168551 | -0.077636 | 0.259 |
| chr5 | -0.576886 | 0.204437 | -0.662398 | -0.183480 | 0.144793 | -0.363327 | 0.339 |
| chr6 | -0.702918 | -0.434563 | -0.446044 | -0.055326 | 0.240835 | -0.238840 | 0.117 |
| chr7 | -0.450103 | -0.477228 | -0.462809 | 0.044165 | 0.590558 | -0.068990 | 0.550 |
| chr8 | -0.465980 | -0.448780 | -0.609540 | 0.124807 | 0.343677 | -0.276095 | 0.135 |
| chr9 | -0.626189 | -0.499781 | -0.073387 | 0.019790 | 0.587020 | -0.087956 | 0.174 |
| chr10 | -0.537200 | -0.306275 | -0.078111 | 0.007100 | 0.768558 | -0.144148 | 0.123 |
| chr 11 | -0.458845 | 0.442497 | -0.603117 | 0.370057 | 0.077163 | -0.294978 | 0.370 |
| chr12 | -0.675793 | -0.497292 | -0.028988 | -0.017851 | 0.469961 | -0.271995 | 0.368 |
| chr 13 | -0.812637 | -0.179248 | -0.524098 | -0.126551 | 0.124555 | -0.035820 | 0.248 |
| chr14 | -0.711050 | -0.266671 | -0.503467 | 0.054603 | 0.407726 | -0.024357 | 0.341 |
| chr15 | -0.880677 | -0.319490 | -0.044988 | -0.057706 | 0.213945 | -0.266847 | 0.488 |
| chr16 | -0.255617 | -0.750142 | -0.232995 | -0.014123 | 0.563099 | 0.019491 | 0.371 |
| chr17 | -0.323738 | -0.149104 | -0.607569 | -0.009111 | 0.709686 | 0.009186 | 0.264 |
| chr18 | -0.654115 | -0.213986 | -0.610928 | -0.129068 | -0.270014 | -0.252081 | 0.200 |
| chr19 | -0.411920 | -0.671843 | 0.187527 | -0.106792 | 0.376363 | -0.436725 | 0.342 |
| chr 20 | -0.242524 | -0.842721 | 0.153547 | 0.054287 | 0.440518 | -0.102093 | 0.451 |
| chr21 | -0.501690 | -0.275117 | -0.639625 | -0.071319 | 0.507956 | 0.019786 | 0.588 |
| chr22 | 0.073752 | -0.579150 | 0.275614 | 0.013244 | 0.728950 | -0.227245 | 0.622 |
| chrX | -0.337419 | 0.161977 | 0.036681 | -0.320496 | 0.210117 | -0.843623 | 0.164 |
| chrY | -0.141818 | -0.492959 | 0.082557 | 0.000000 | 0.695956 | 0.495690 | 0.416 |

Overall, the linear explanation of aln (nr) in terms of these 6 variables is not very strong (overall R2 ~ 14\%). Also, the coefficients for interp rep, snp (neg) and exon (pos) are as expected, that for recomb is pos but very small, but those for GC ad deltaGC are more ambiguous (sizeable and neg?). Note that these coefficients express sign and size of effects on the response, "adjusted for" the presence of the other predictors in the pool (this is true also for the coefficients computed within each of the chromosomes).

Again, both the share of explained variability (R2) and the coefficients size and sign patterns vary dramatically when the analysis is repeated within chromosomes. For example, chromosomes 7, 21 and 22 have a much larger R2 (above .5). Chromosome 22 presents almost no effect of intersp rep, chromosome 18 presents a negative effect of exon, etc.

Next, I attempted clustering of chromosomes on the basis of

1. The distances among their norm one least square vectors (hierarchical agglomeration)
2. The differences among their R2 (hierarchical agglomeration)
3. Their resemblance of the overall behavior, in terms of both norm one least square vectors, and R2 (visual inspection of a 2D plot).


Agglomeration (complete linkage) of chromosomes based on euclidean distance between their norm 1 LS's - in a 6D space

Distance


Agglomeration (complete linkage) of chromosomes based on difference between their R2.


Chromosomes located in terms of (Horiz) discrepancy between their norm 1 LS and the overall one, as measured by 1 minus the squared correlation; and (Vert) difference between their R2 and the overall one, which is 0.144 . Here $(0,0)$ (circled black cross) is the position of the overall norm 1 LS . The grouping isn't as clear as in the case of the PC analysis, but there is an obvious separation between chromosomes that are/ are not similar to the overall behavior (color-coding above)

